Case 9:19-cr-80197-RAR Document 1 Entered on FLSD Docket 09/27/2019

Sep 27, 2019

ANGELA E. NOBLE CLERK U.S. DIST. CT. S.D. OF FLA. - MIAMI

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA 19-80197-CR-RUIZ/REINHART Case No.

18 U.S.C. § 371 18 U.S.C. § 982(a)(7)

1	III	JI	T	FI	n	CT	r A	7	L	C	-	F	A	A	/II	P	T	C	٨
	1 1 1	•		10.0			-			44				ш				/	-

VS.

BRETT HIRSCH,

Defendant.

<u>INFORMATION</u>

The United States Attorney charges that:

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

At all times material to this Information

MEDICARE PROGRAM

- 1. The Medicare Program ("Medicare") was a federally funded program that provided free or below-cost health care benefits to certain individuals, primarily the elderly, blind, and disabled. The benefits available under Medicare were governed by federal statutes and regulations. The United States Department of Health and Human Services ("HHS"), through its agency, the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services ("CMS"), oversaw and administered Medicare. Individuals who received benefits under Medicare were commonly referred to as Medicare "beneficiaries."
- 2. Medicare was a "health care benefit program," as defined by Title 18, United States Code, Section 24(b) and a "Federal health care program," as defined by Title 42, United States Code, Section 1320a-7b(f).

- 3. Medicare programs covering different types of benefits were separated into different program "parts." "Part A" of the Medicare program covered health services provided by hospitals, skilled nursing facilities, hospices and home health agencies. "Part B" of the Medicare Program was a medical insurance program that covered, among other things, medical services provided by physicians, medical clinics, laboratories and other qualified health care providers, such as office visits, minor surgical procedures, and laboratory testing, that were medically necessary and ordered by licensed medical doctors or other qualified health care providers. The Medicare Advantage Program, formerly known as "Part C" or "Medicare+Choice," is described in further detail below.
- 4. Physicians, clinics and other health care providers, including laboratories, that provided services to Medicare beneficiaries were able to apply for and obtain a "provider number." A health care provider that received a Medicare provider number was able to file claims with Medicare to obtain reimbursement for services provided to beneficiaries.
- 5. A Medicare claim was required to contain certain important information, including:

 (a) the Medicare beneficiary's name and Health Insurance Claim Number ("HICN"); (b) a description of the health care benefit, item, or service that was provided or supplied to the beneficiary; (c) the billing codes for the benefit, item, or service; (d) the date upon which the benefit, item, or service was provided or supplied to the beneficiary; and (e) the name of the referring physician or other health care provider, as well as a unique identifying number, known either as the Unique Physician Identification Number ("UPIN") or National Provider Identifier ("NPI"). The claim form could be submitted in hard copy or electronically.

PART B COVERAGE AND REGULATIONS

6. CMS acted through fiscal agents called Medicare administrative contractors

("MACs"), which were statutory agents for CMS for Medicare Part B. The MACs were private entities that reviewed claims and made payments to providers for services rendered to Medicare beneficiaries. The MACs were responsible for processing Medicare claims arising within their assigned geographical area, including determining whether the claim was for a covered service.

- 7. Novitas Solutions Inc. ("Novitas") was the MAC for the consolidated Medicare jurisdictions that covered Louisiana, Mississippi, Oklahoma, Texas, and Pennsylvania. Palmetto GBA ("Palmetto") was the MAC for the consolidated Medicare jurisdictions that included Georgia, Alabama, Tennessee, South Carolina, North Carolina, Virginia, and West Virginia.
- 8. To receive Medicare reimbursement, providers had to make appropriate application to the MAC and executed a written provider agreement. The Medicare provider enrollment application, CMS Form 855B, was required to be signed by an authorized representative of the provider. CMS Form 855B contained a certification that stated:

I agree to abide by the Medicare laws, regulations, and program instructions that apply to this provider. The Medicare laws, regulations, and program instructions are available through the Medicare contractor. I understand that payment of a claim by Medicare is conditioned upon the claim and the underlying transaction complying with such laws, regulations and program instructions (including, but not limited to, the federal anti-kickback statute and the Stark law), and on the provider's compliance with all applicable conditions of participation in Medicare.

- 9. CMS Form 855B contained additional certifications that the provider "will not knowingly present or cause to be presented a false or fraudulent claim for payment by Medicare and will not submit claims with deliberate ignorance or reckless disregard of their truth or falsity."
- 10. Payments under Medicare Part B were often made directly to the health care provider rather than to the patient or beneficiary. For this to occur, the beneficiary would assign the right of payment to the health care provider. Once such an assignment took place, the health

care provider would assume the responsibility for submitting claims to, and receiving payments from, Medicare.

THE MEDICARE ADVANTAGE PROGRAM

- 11. The Medicare Advantage Program, formerly known as "Part C" or "Medicare+Choice," provided Medicare beneficiaries with the option to receive their Medicare benefits through a wide variety of private managed care plans, including health maintenance organizations ("HMOs"), provider sponsored organizations ("PSOs"), preferred provider organizations ("PPOs"), and private fee-for-service plans ("PFFS"), rather than through the original Medicare program (Parts A and B).
- 12. Private health insurance companies offering Medicare Advantage plans were required to provide Medicare beneficiaries with the same services and supplies offered under Parts A and B of Medicare. To be eligible to enroll in a Medicare Advantage plan, a person had to have been entitled to benefits under Part A and Part B of the Medicare Program.
- 13. A number of companies, including UnitedHealth Group, Inc. ("UnitedHealth"), Humana Inc. ("Humana"), WellCare Health Plans, Inc. ("WellCare") and CVS Health Corporation ("CVS Health"), along with their related subsidiaries and affiliates, contracted with CMS to provide managed care to Medicare Advantage beneficiaries through various plans.
- 14. Medicare Advantage plans, including UnitedHealth, Humana, WellCare and CVS Health were "health care benefit programs," as defined by Title 18, United States Code, Section 24(b), and "Federal health care program[s]," as defined by Title 42, United States Code, Section 1320a-7b(f).
- 15. These companies, through their respective Medicare Advantage programs, often made payments directly to physicians, medical clinics, or other health care providers, rather than

to the Medicare Advantage beneficiary that received the health care benefits, items, and services.

This occurred when the provider accepted assignment of the right to payment from the beneficiary.

- 16. To obtain payment for services or treatment provided to a beneficiary enrolled in a Medicare Advantage plan, physicians, medical clinics, and other health care providers had to submit itemized claim forms to the beneficiary's Medicare Advantage plan. The claim forms were typically submitted electronically via the internet. The claim form required certain important information, including the information described above in paragraph 5 of this Indictment.
- 17. When a provider submitted a claim form to a Medicare Advantage program, the provider party certified that the contents of the form were true, correct, complete, and that the form was prepared in compliance with the laws and regulations governing the Medicare program. The submitting party also certified that the services being billed were medically necessary and were in fact provided as billed.
- 18. The private health insurance companies offering Medicare Advantage plans were paid a fixed rate per beneficiary per month by the Medicare program, regardless of the actual number or type of services the beneficiary received. These payments by Medicare to the insurance companies were known as "capitation" payments. Thus, every month, CMS paid the health insurance companies a pre-determined amount for each beneficiary who was enrolled in a Medicare Advantage plan, regardless of whether or not the beneficiary utilized the plan's services that month. CMS determined the per-patient capitation amount using actuarial tables, based on a variety of factors, including the beneficiary's age, sex, severity of illness, and county of residence. CMS adjusted the capitation rates annually, taking into account each patient's previous illness diagnoses and treatments. Beneficiaries with more illnesses or more serious conditions would

rate a higher capitation payment than healthier beneficiaries.

CANCER GENOMIC TESTS

- 19. Cancer genomic ("CGx") testing used DNA sequencing to detect mutations in genes that could indicate a higher risk of developing certain types of cancers in the future. CGx testing was not a method of diagnosing whether an individual presently had cancer.
- 20. Medicare did not cover diagnostic testing that was "not reasonable and necessary for the diagnosis or treatment of illness or injury or to improve the functioning of a malformed body member." Title 42, United States Code, Section 1395y(a)(1)(A). Except for certain statutory exceptions, Medicare did not cover "examinations performed for a purpose other than treatment or diagnosis of a specific illness, symptoms, complaint or injury." Title 42, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 411.15(a)(1). Among the statutory exceptions Medicare covered were cancer screening tests such as "screening mammography, colorectal cancer screening tests, screening pelvic exams, [and] prostate cancer screening tests." *Id*.
- 21. If diagnostic testing were necessary for the diagnosis or treatment of illness or injury or to improve the functioning of a malformed body member, Medicare imposed additional requirements before covering the testing. Title 42, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 410.32(a) provided, "All diagnostic x-ray tests, diagnostic laboratory tests, and other diagnostic tests must be ordered by the physician who is treating the beneficiary, that is, the physician who furnishes a consultation or treats a beneficiary for a specific medical problem and who uses the results in the management of the beneficiary's specific medical problem." "Tests not ordered by the physician who is treating the beneficiary are not reasonable and necessary." *Id.*
- 22. Because CGx testing did not diagnose cancer, Medicare only covered such tests in limited circumstances, such as when a beneficiary had cancer and the beneficiary's treating

physician deemed such testing necessary for the beneficiary's treatment of that cancer. Medicare did not cover CGx testing for beneficiaries who did not have cancer or lacked symptoms of cancer.

TELEMEDICINE

- 23. Telemedicine provided a means of connecting patients to doctors by using telecommunications technology, such as the internet or telephone, to interact with a patient.
- 24. Telemedicine companies provided telemedicine services to individuals by hiring doctors and other health care providers. Telemedicine companies typically paid doctors a fee to conduct consultations with patients. In order to generate revenue, telemedicine companies typically either billed insurance or received payment from patients who utilized the services of the telemedicine company.
- 25. Medicare Part B covered expenses for specified telehealth services if certain requirements were met. These requirements included that (a) the beneficiary was located in a rural or health professional shortage area; (b) services were delivered via an interactive audio and video telecommunications system; and (c) the beneficiary was a practitioner's office or a specified medical facility not at a beneficiary's home during the telehealth consultation with a remote practitioner.

THE DEFENDANT AND RELATED ENTITIES

- 26. Laboratory 1, a corporation organized under the laws of Florida and later merged with a corporation organized under the laws of Georgia, was a laboratory that purportedly provided CGx testing to Medicare beneficiaries.
- 27. Laboratory 2, a corporation organized under the laws of Oklahoma, was a laboratory that purportedly provided CGx testing to Medicare beneficiaries.
 - 28. Laboratory 3, a corporation organized under the laws of Georgia, was a laboratory

that purportedly provided CGx testing to Medicare beneficiaries.

- 29. Company 1 was a corporation organized under the laws of Florida, with a principal place of business located in Palm Beach County, Florida.
- 30. Defendant **BRETT HIRSCH**, a resident of Palm Beach County, was an owner of Company 1.

Conspiracy to Solicit and Receive Health Care Kickbacks (18 U.S.C. § 371)

From in or around January 2017, through in or around June 2019, in Palm Beach County, in the Southern District of Florida, and elsewhere, the defendant,

BRETT HIRSCH,

did willfully, that is, with the intent to further the object of the conspiracy, and knowingly combine, conspire, confederate and agree with others, known and unknown to the United States Attorney, to commit an offense against the United States, that is, to violate Title 42, United States Code, Section 1320a-7b(b)(1)(A), by knowingly and willfully soliciting and receiving remuneration, specifically, kickbacks and bribes, directly and indirectly, overtly and covertly, in cash and in kind, in return for referring individuals for the furnishing and arranging for the furnishing of any item and service for which payment may be made in whole or in part by a Federal health care program, that is, Medicare and Medicare Advantage plans.

PURPOSE OF THE CONSPIRACY

31. It was a purpose of the conspiracy for the defendant and his co-conspirators to unlawfully enrich themselves by: (a) soliciting and receiving kickbacks and bribes in return for recruiting and referring Medicare beneficiaries to Laboratories 1–3; (b) submitting and causing the submission of claims to Medicare and Medicare Advantage plans for CGx tests that Laboratories 1–3 purported to provide to those Medicare beneficiaries; (c) concealing the submission of kickbacks and bribes; and (d) diverting proceeds for their personal use and benefit, the use and benefit of others and to further the conspiracy.

MANNER AND MEANS

The manner and means by which the defendant and his co-conspirators sought to accomplish the object and purpose of the conspiracy included, among other things, the following:

- 32. **BRETT HIRSCH** and others obtained access to thousands of Medicare beneficiaries by targeting them with telemarketing campaigns, and inducing them to accept CGx tests regardless of medical necessity.
- 33. **BRETT HIRSCH** and others obtained doctor's orders for the CGx tests by paying telemedicine companies kickbacks and bribes for orders written by doctors who contracted with the telemedicine companies, even though those doctors were not treating the beneficiaries for cancer or symptoms of cancer, did not use the test results in the treatment of the beneficiaries, and did not conduct a proper telemedicine visit.
- 34. **BRETT HIRSCH** and others solicited and received kickbacks and bribes from Laboratories 1–3 in exchange for doctor's orders for CGx tests and other Medicare-required documents that would be used to support claims to Medicare and Medicare Advantage plans for those tests from Laboratories 1–3.

- 35. **BRETT HIRSCH** entered into sham contracts with Laboratories 1–3 that disguised the kickbacks and bribes as payments from Laboratories 1–3 for marketing services.
- 36. **BRETT HIRSCH** and others caused Laboratories 1–3 to submit claims to Medicare and Medicare Advantage plans.
- 37. As a result of these claims, Medicare and Medicare Advantage plans made payments to Laboratories 1–3.

OVERT ACTS

In furtherance of the conspiracy, and to accomplish its object and purpose, at least one coconspirator committed and caused to be committed, in the Southern District of Florida, at least one of the following overt acts, among others:

- 1. On or about May 1, 2017, **BRETT HIRSCH**, through Company 1, executed a contract with Laboratory 1 pursuant to which Laboratory 1 agreed to pay Company 1 45% of the monthly revenue Laboratory 1 received from Medicare for CGx tests referred by Company 1, minus certain costs.
- 2. In or around September 24, 2018, **BRETT HIRSCH** referred beneficiary J.P. to Laboratory 1 for CGx testing, in exchange for kickbacks and bribes.
- 3. On or about September 24, 2018, Laboratory 1 submitted a claim in the approximate amount of \$940 for CGx testing purportedly provided to beneficiary J.P.

All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 371.

FORFEITURE (18 U.S.C. § 982)

1. The allegations of this Information are re-alleged and by this reference fully incorporated herein for purposes of alleging criminal forfeiture to the United States of certain property in which the defendant has an interest.

FORFEITURE (18 U.S.C. § 982)

- 1. The allegations of this Information are re-alleged and by this reference fully incorporated herein for purposes of alleging criminal forfeiture to the United States of certain property in which the defendant has an interest.
- 2. Upon conviction of a criminal conspiracy to commit a violation of Title 42, United States Code, Section 1320a-7b, as alleged in this Information, the defendant shall forfeit to the United States any property, real or personal, that constitutes or is derived, directly or indirectly, from gross proceeds traceable to the commission of the offense, pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 982(a)(7).
- 3. The property subject to forfeiture includes, but is not limited to, the sum of money equal in value to the gross proceeds traceable to the commission of the violation alleged in this Information, which the United States will seek as a forfeiture money judgment as part of each defendant's sentence.
- 4. If any of the property described above, as a result of any act or omission of the defendant:
 - a. cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence;
 - b. has been transferred or sold to, or deposited with a third party;
 - c. has been placed beyond the jurisdiction of the court;
 - d. has been substantially diminished in value; or
 - e. has been co-mingled with other property which cannot be divided without difficulty,

the United States of America shall be entitled to forfeiture of substitute property pursuant to Title 21, United States Code, Section 853(p), as incorporated by Title 18, United States Code, Section 982(b)(1).

All pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sections 982(a)(7), and the procedures set forth in Title 21, United States Code, Section 853, as incorporated by Title 18, United States Code, Section 982(b)(1).

ARIANA FAJARDO ORSHAN UNITED STATES ATTORNEY

ALLAN MEDINA ACTING DEPUTY CHIEF CRIMINAL DIVISION, FRAUD SECTION U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

TIMOTHY LOPER

TRIAL ATTORNEY

CRIMINAL DIVISION, FRAUD SECTION U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Case 9:19-cr-80197-RAR Document 1 Entered on FLSD Docket 09/27/2019 Page 13 of 15 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

UNITED STA	ATES OF AMERICA	CASE NO CERTIFICATE OF TRIAL ATTORNEY*							
v. BRETT HIRS	БСН ,								
	Defendant.	Superseding Case Information:							
Court Division Miam FTL	n: (Select One) ii Key West WPB FTP	New defendant(s) Number of new defendant Total number of counts	Yes No						
1.	I have carefully considered the allegated probable witnesses and the legal company.		e number of defendants, the number of Information attached hereto.						
2.		-	ll be relied upon by the Judges of this under the mandate of the Speedy Trial						
3.	Interpreter: (Yes or No) No List language and/or dialect								
4.	This case will take0_ days for the	parties to try.							
5.	ow:								
	(Check only one)	(Check only one)							
I II III IV V	0 to 5 days	Petty Minor Misdem. Felony							
(Att Has If yo Rela Def Def	Has this case previously been filed in es: Judge tach copy of dispositive order) a complaint been filed in this matter? es: Magistrate Case No. ated miscellaneous numbers: tendant(s) in federal custody as of tendant(s) in state custody as of e 20 from the District of	this District Court? Case No. (Yes or No) No	(Yes or No) No						
Is th	nis a potential death penalty case? (Yes o	or No) <u>No</u>							
7.	7. Does this case originate from a matter pending in the Central Region of the U.S. Attorney's Off prior to August 9, 2013 (Mag. Judge Alicia O. Valle)? Yes No /								
8.	Does this case originate from a matter prior to August 8, 2014 (Mag. Judge	•	Region U.S. Attorney's Office Yes No						
			~ fw						

TIMOTHY PUOPER
DOJ TRIAL ATTORNEY
COURT ID NO. A5502016

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

PENALTY SHEET

Defendant's Name: BRETT HIRSCH
Case No:
Count #: 1
Conspiracy to Solicit and Receive Health Care Kickbacks
Title 18, United States Code, Section 371
*Max Penalty: Five (5) years' imprisonment; three (3) years' supervised release; and the
greater of \$250,000 fine or twice the gross pecuniary gain or loss.
*Refers only to possible term of incarceration, does not include possible fines, restitution,

special assessments, parole terms, or forfeitures that may be applicable.

AO 455 (Rev. 01/09) Waiver of an Indictment

United States of America

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Southern District of Florida

v.) Case No.
DDETT LUDGOLL	
BRETT HIRSCH,)
Defendant	,
W	AIVER OF AN INDICTMENT
	of one or more offenses punishable by imprisonment for more than one and the nature of the proposed charges against me.
After receiving this advice, I waive minformation.	y right to prosecution by indictment and consent to prosecution by
Date:	
	Defendant's signature
	Signature of defendant's attorney
	Printed name of defendant's attorney
	Judge's signature
	Judge's printed name and title